

Additional information supplied to the China Tribunal by Wendy Rogers, following a request for this information during evidence given on 10 Dec 2018.

The full details of this research are in Rogers WA, Robertson M, Ballantyne A, Blakely B, Catsanos R, Clay-Williams R & Fiatarone Singh M. Compliance with ethical standards in the reporting of donor sources and ethics review in peer-reviewed publications involving organ transplantation in China: A scoping review. *BMJ Open*, scheduled for publication on 6 Feb 2019.

	No. of papers (% of total)	No. of transplants*
Papers with no information at all about the source of organs (i.e not stated if “donor” was a prisoner, was not a prisoner, was a volunteer)	382 (86%)	75,502
Papers with explicit claim that no organs from prisoners were used**	33 (7.4%)	5,456
Papers with no record of consent from organ sources	439 (99%)	84,040
Papers with no claim of ethics committee approval	121 (27%)	18,354
Totals	445 (100%)	85,477

\* These numbers almost certainly include duplicates as some of the research was reported more than once and/or in overlapping data sets.

\*\*19 of these papers seem to be making a false claim as the transplants in question took place when there was no other source of organs.